

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE J		PAGE OF PAGES 1 6	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0001		3. EFFECTIVE DATE 04-Jun-2009		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable) DXEB 92-1001	
6. ISSUED BY 354 CONTRACTING SQUADRON ISSUING OFFICE 354 BROADWAY ST, UNIT 5B LGCA - CONSTRUCTION EIELSON AFB AK 99702-1801		CODE FA5004		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		CODE	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)				X		9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. FA5004-09-R-C015	
				X		9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 02-Jun-2009	
						10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
						10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE				FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.							
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)							
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.							
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.							
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).							
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:							
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)							
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.							
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) The purpose of this amendment is to: 1) Revise Section L and Section M of the subject solicitation. **See Summary of Changes for details.** Points of Contact: Contracting Specialist: SSgt Ruben Mindieta -- Phone No. 907-377-0109 -- email: ruben.mindieta@eielson.af.mil							
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.							
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)				16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)			
				TEL: EMAIL:			
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		15C. DATE SIGNED		16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 04-Jun-2009	

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION L - INSTRUCTIONS, CONDITIONS AND NOTICES TO BIDDERS

The following have been modified:

PROPOSAL PREPARATION INSTRUCTIONS

A. To assure timely and equitable evaluation of proposals, Offerors must follow the instructions contained herein. Offerors are required to meet all solicitation requirements, including terms and conditions, representations and certifications, and technical requirements. Failure to meet a requirement may result in an offer being ineligible for award. Offerors must clearly identify any exception to the solicitation terms and conditions and provide complete accompanying rationale. The response shall consist of two (2) separately bound parts, **Part I** - Price Proposal, and **Part II** - Past Performance Information.

B. The contracting officer has determined there is a high probability of adequate price competition in this acquisition. Upon examination of the initial offers, the contracting officer will review this determination and if, in the contracting officer's opinion, adequate price competition exists no additional cost information will be requested and certification under [FAR 15.406-2](#) will not be required. However, if at any time during this competition the contracting officer determines that adequate price competition no longer exists; Offerors may be required to submit information to the extent necessary for the contracting officer to determine the reasonableness and affordability of the price.

C. Specific Instructions:

1. **PART I – PRICE PROPOSAL** - *Submit original and one (1) copy*

(a) Complete blocks 14 through 20c of the RFP Section A, (SF 1442). In doing so, the Offeror accedes to the contract terms and conditions as written in the RFP Sections A through K. These sections constitute the model contract.

(b) Insert proposed unit prices in Section B for each Contract Line Item Number (CLIN). The extended amount must equal the whole dollar unit price multiplied by the number of units.

(c) Complete the necessary fill-ins and certifications in Sections I through K. Section K shall be returned in its entirety. For Sections C through I, the Offeror shall submit only those pages that require a fill-in.

(d) SF 30 – Amendment of Solicitation/Modification of Contract (Applicable if amendments are not acknowledged on Block 19 of the SF 1442).

(e) The Offeror shall provide data on its financial capability. The Financial Data form identified in Section J, as Attachment JA-4 shall be used to provide the financial information.

(f) ****Offerors requesting a preaward determination of the inapplicability of Section 1605 of the Recovery Act or the Buy American Act for specifically identified construction material shall comply with the provisions of FAR 52.225-10 and FAR 52.225-22. Offerors are cautioned that failure to submit an alternate offer based on use of equivalent domestic construction material may result in their exclusion from the competition if the Government determines that an exception permitting use of a particular foreign construction material does not apply and decides to exercise their right to make award without holding discussion or providing an opportunity for revision of proposals.****

2. **PART II – PAST PERFORMANCE INFORMATION** - *Submit original plus one (1) copy.*

(a) **Quality and Satisfaction Rating for Contracts Completed in the Past Three Years:** Provide any information currently available (letters, metrics, customer surveys, independent surveys, etc.) which demonstrates customer satisfaction with overall job performance and quality of completed product for same or similar type contract. In addition, explain corrective actions taken in the past, if any, for substandard performance and any current performance problems such as cost overruns, extended performance periods, numerous warranty calls, etc.

(b) **Performance Surveys:** The government will evaluate the quality and extent of Offeror's performance deemed relevant to the requirements of this RFP. The government will use information submitted by the Offeror and other sources such as other Federal Government offices and commercial sources, to assess performance. Provide a list of no more than ten (10), of the most relevant contracts performed for Federal agencies and commercial customers within the last three (3) years. **Relevant contract means the performance being considered must have a logical connection to the work described in the solicitation.** Normally, relevance would include such aspects as the product or service similarity, product or service complexity, contract type, program or lifecycle phase, contract environment, division of company proposing, subcontractor interaction and magnitude. Furnish the following information for each contract listed:

- (i) Company/Division name
- (ii) Product/Service
- (iii) Contracting Agency/Customer
- (iv) Contract Number
- (v) Contract Dollar Value
- (vi) Period of Performance
- (vii) Verified, up-to-date name, address, email, FAX and telephone number of the Contracting Officer
- (viii) Comments regarding compliance with contract terms and conditions
- (ix) Comments regarding any known performance deemed unacceptable to the customer, or not in accordance with the contract terms and conditions.

(c) **Questionnaires:** The questionnaire must be forwarded to a verifiable point of contact at each agency/customer for completion and return directly to:

Fax : (907) 377-2547 Attn: MSgt Vance Farrell / SSgt Ruben Mindieta / A1C Philip Cirrincione

Email: vance.farrell@eielson.af.mil / ruben.mindieta@eielson.af.mil / philip.cirrincione@eielson.af.mil

Note: Completed questionnaires shall be submitted no later than the proposal due date.

(d) **Teaming Arrangements:** If a teaming arrangement is contemplated, provide complete information as to the arrangement, including any relevant and recent past/present performance information on previous teaming arrangements with same partner. If this is a first time joint effort, each party to the arrangement must provide a list of past and present relevant contracts.

(e) **Subcontractor Consent:** Past performance information pertaining to a subcontractor cannot be disclosed to the prime Offeror without the subcontractor's consent. Provide with the proposal a letter from all subcontractors that will perform major or critical aspects of the requirement, consenting to the release of their past performance information to the prime contractor.

D. Documents submitted in response to this Request For Proposal (RFP) must be fully responsive to and consistent with the following:

- (a) Requirements of the Request For Proposal CLINs and Performance Work Statement (PWS), and Government standards and regulations pertaining to the PWS.

(b) Evaluation Factors for Award in Section M of this RFP.

(c) Any limitation on the number of ~~proposals pages~~ Performance Surveys. ~~Pages- Performance Surveys~~ exceeding the ~~page~~ limitation set forth in this Section L will not be read or evaluated and will be removed from the proposal.

(d) Format for Proposal Part II shall be as follows:

- (i) A page is defined as one face on an 8 ½" x 11" sheet of paper containing information.
- (ii) Typing shall not be less than 12 pitch.

DISPOSITION OF PROPOSALS

The original proposal and related information will be retained with the contract file. All extra copies will be destroyed after completion of evaluations.

HAND CARRIED PROPOSALS

Hand-carried proposals must be deposited at the 354th Contracting Squadron/Construction Flight, 2310 Central Ave, Eielson AFB, Alaska. The sealed envelope or package used to submit your proposal must be marked "SOURCE SELECTION SENSITIVE" and must show the time and date specified for receipt, the Solicitation Number, and the name and address of the Offeror. Offerors are cautioned that Eielson AFB, AK has visitor control procedures requiring individuals not affiliated with the installation to obtain a visitor pass prior to entrance. **SOME DELAY SHOULD BE ANTICIPATED WHEN HAND CARRYING PROPOSALS.** Offerors should allow sufficient time to obtain a visitor pass and arrive at the Contracting Squadron PRIOR to the time specified for receipt.

QUESTIONS

All questions regarding this solicitation must be submitted in writing. All questions and subsequent answers will be posted to the Federal Business Opportunities website www.fedbizopps.gov. It is the responsibility of the contractor to continuously monitor the site for updates.

Fax : (907) 377-2547 Attn: MSgt Vance Farrell / SSgt Ruben Mindieta / A1C Philip Cirrincione

Email: vance.farrell@eielson.af.mil / ruben.mindieta@eielson.af.mil / philip.cirrincione@eielson.af.mil

SECTION M - EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

The following have been modified:

BASIS FOR CONTRACT AWARD

This is a competitive best value source selection in which competing offerors' past performance history will be evaluated on a basis *significantly more important than cost* or price considerations. By submission of its offer, the offeror accedes to all solicitation requirements, including terms and conditions, representations and certifications, and technical requirements. All such offers shall be treated equally except for their prices and performance records. Failure to meet a requirement may result in an offer being determined technically unacceptable. Offerors must clearly identify any exception to the solicitation terms and conditions and provide complete accompanying rationale. The Contracting Officer shall review any request for preaward determination of the inapplicability of Section 1605 of the Recovery Act or the Buy American Act for specifically identified construction material that accompanies an offer. If the Government determines that an exception permitting use of a particular foreign construction material does not apply any offer that proposes use of foreign construction material not previously allowed by clause 52.225-9 or 52.225-21 (substitute 52.225-11 and 52.225-23 for contracts valued at \$7,443,000 or more) may be eliminated from the competition if the Government decides to award without discussion. The evaluation process shall proceed as follows:

A. Initially offers shall be ranked according to price, including any option prices if applicable. An offeror's proposed prices will be determined by multiplying the quantities identified in Section B by the proposed unit price for priced Contract Line Item Number ~~0001 and~~ OR Subcontract Line Item Numbers ~~0003AA, 0003AB, 0003AC and 0003AD~~ to confirm the extended amount for each. The extended amounts will be added together to determine the total evaluated price. When applicable, the price evaluation adjustment for HUBZone small business concerns will be applied in accordance with [FAR 52.219-4](#), Notice of Price Evaluation Preference for HUBZone Small Business Concerns, to arrive at an evaluated price. The price evaluation will document (for the offers evaluated under paragraph B below) the reasonableness and affordability of the proposed total evaluated price.

B. Using questionnaires, the contracting officer shall seek relevant performance information on each offeror based on (1) the past and present efforts provided by the offeror and (2) data independently obtained from other government and commercial sources. Relevant performance includes performance of efforts involving projects that have a logical connection and that are similar or greater in scope, magnitude and complexity than the effort described in this solicitation. The purpose of the past performance evaluation is to allow the government to assess the offeror's ability to perform the effort described in this RFP, based on the offeror's demonstrated present and past performance. The assessment process will result in an overall performance confidence assessment of Substantial Confidence, Satisfactory Confidence, Limited Confidence, No Confidence, or Unknown Confidence as defined in [MP5315.3](#), Table 3. Past performance regarding predecessor companies, key personnel who have relevant experience, or sub-contractors that will perform major or critical aspects of the requirement will ~~will not~~ be considered as highly as past performance information for the principal offeror. Offerors with no relevant past or present performance history or the offeror's performance record is so limited that no confidence assessment rating can be reasonably assigned shall receive the rating "Unknown Confidence," meaning the rating is treated neither favorably nor unfavorably.

Rating	Definition
Substantial Confidence	Based on the offeror's performance record, the government has a high expectation that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.
Satisfactory Confidence	Based on the offeror's performance record, the government has an expectation that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.
Limited Confidence	Based on the offeror's performance record, the government has a low expectation that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.
No Confidence	Based on the offeror's performance record, the government has no expectation that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.
Unknown Confidence	No performance record is identifiable or the offeror's performance record is so sparse that no confidence assessment rating can be reasonably assigned.

C. In evaluating past performance, the government reserves the right to give greater consideration to information on those contracts deemed most relevant to the effort described in this RFP.

D. If the lowest priced evaluated offer is judged to have a Substantial Confidence performance assessment, that offer represents the best value for the government and the evaluation process stops at this point. Award shall be made to that offeror without further consideration of any other offers.

E. If the lowest priced offeror is not judged to have a Substantial Confidence performance confidence assessment, the next lowest priced offeror will be evaluated and the process will continue (in order by price) until an offeror is

judged to have a Substantial Confidence performance confidence assessment or until all offerors are evaluated. The Source Selection Authority shall then make an integrated assessment best value award decision.

F. Offerors are cautioned to submit sufficient information and in the format specified in Section “L”

G. Offerors may be asked to clarify certain aspects of their proposal (*for example*, the relevance of past performance information) or respond to adverse past performance information to which the offeror has not previously had an opportunity to respond. Adverse past performance is defined as past performance information that supports a less than satisfactory rating on any evaluation element or any unfavorable comments received from sources without a formal rating system. Communication conducted to resolve minor or clerical errors will not constitute discussions and the contracting officer reserves the right to award a contract without the opportunity for proposal revision.

H. The government intends to award a contract without discussions with respective offerors. The government, however, reserves the right to conduct discussions if deemed in its best interest.

(End of Summary of Changes)